SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MAY 8, 2012 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S075725

PEOPLE v. JONES (KIONGOZI)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Viet H. Nguyen's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by June 21, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 21, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S089609

PEOPLE v. DELGADO (ANTHONY GILBERT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Jolie Lipsig's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 25, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 10, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 15 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S104144

PEOPLE v. PEREZ, JR., (JOSEPH ANDREW)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel A. Richard Ellis's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 6, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S105857

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (LUMORD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Arnold A. Erickson's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by October 1, 2012,

counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 6, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 86 additional days will be granted. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S120382

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (VINCENT HENRY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 6, 2012.

S122123

PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (ANGELINA)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General William H. Shin's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by August 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 6, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 25 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S126214

PEOPLE v. CRUMMEL (JAMES LEE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Alana Cohen Butler's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by December 13, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 6, 2012. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S127119

PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 6, 2012.

S132253

PEOPLE v. HELZER (JUSTIN ALAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 26, 2012.

S135272

PEOPLE v. DWORAK (DOUGLAS EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Diane Nichols's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 1, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 6, 2012. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S140795

PEOPLE v. ESPINOZA (JOHNNY)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Ralph H. Goldsen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 29, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S147702

LEDESMA (FERMIN RODRIGUEZ) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Terry Amdur's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 2, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 6, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S152156

PEOPLE v. KARIS, JR., (JAMES LESLIE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 6, 2012.

S154541

LANCASTER (ANDREW) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Miro C. Cizin's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by May 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 6, 2012. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

S167108

WATSON (PAUL GREGORY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Geraldine S. Russell's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 15, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 13, 2012. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 420 additional days are contemplated.

S195296

McKINLEY (DONNIE RAY)
ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 11, 2012.

S196910

TURNER (RICHARD DEAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Angela M. Borzachillo's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 2, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 2, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S199887 A133177 First Appellate District, Div. 2 BOURHIS (DANIELLE) v.

LORD (JOHN)

S199889 A132136 First Appellate District, Div. 2 BOURHIS (DANIELLE) v.

LORD (JOHN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to May 14, 2012.

S199918 DEHOYOS (RICHARD LUCIO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Annie Featherman Fraser's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 8, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 6, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S19979 E049095 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ARCHULETA (FRED EDWARD)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Lizabeth Weis is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S201348 B223542 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 SHOYOYE (ADETOKUNBO)

v. COUNTY OF LOS

ANGELES

Order filed

The application of plaintiff and appellant requesting an extension of time to file the reply to answer to petition for review is denied.

S201878 JONES (ANTWION) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S202072

CARTWRIGHT III (HENRY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S202194

OTT (GREGORY) v. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S190664

GONZALEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ, State Bar Number 219130, is revoked. The court further orders that MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ is suspended from the practice of law for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first one year of his probation (with credit given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on February 6, 2012), and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to the following payees (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to the payee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
 - a. William Warren in the amount of \$3,000;
 - b. Edilberto Rodriguez in the amount of \$3,000;
 - c. Maria Isabel Mojica-Roman in the amount of \$2,750;
 - d. Roberto Julian-Galicia in the amount of \$2,525; and
 - e. Gerardo Montoya aka Gerardo Munoz or Lorena Munoz in the amount of \$3,500; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his

rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)

- 2. MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order filed on February 3, 2012.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MANUEL ANGEL GONZALEZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.